

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/737,011	12/14/2000	John Meyer	DEI 00.01	8236	
27667 75	10/05/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C.			PATEL, JAGDISH		
130 W. CUSHING STREET TUCSON, AZ 85701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3624	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Le

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/737,011	MEYER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAGDISH PATEL	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 J</u>	ulv 2004.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
· <u> </u>						
•••	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 41-92,94,96 and 99-142 is/are pendi	ng in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>41-80 and 99-142</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>81-92,94 and 96</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		<i>j</i> -(a) or (i).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Burea	=					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)	·					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) L Notice of Informal f 6) D Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/737,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 2/7/04.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 41, 45-47, 50, 51, 55-57, 61, 65-67, 70, 71, 75, 81-92, 94, 96, 99, 101, 105-107, 110, 111, 115-117, 121, 125-127, 130, 131, 135, 141 and 142 have been amended in the record per request.

Response to Arguments/Remarks

- 3. Claims 41-80 and 99-142 are allowed.
- 4. Amended claims <u>81-92,94 and 96</u> have been rejected (see "Claim Rejections").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5.35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 6. Claims 94 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non statutory subject matter.
- 7. Claims 94 and 96 are rejected under 101 as encompassing multiple statutory class of invention. Claim 94. Claims 94

Art Unit: 3624

and 96 are directed to an apparatus claim (limits "said computer") whereas their independent parent claims 55 and 75 are directed to a method claims.

8.35 U.S.C. §101 requires that in order to be patentable the invention must be a "new and useful process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter or new and useful improvement thereof" (emphasis added). Applicant's claims mentioned above are intended to embrace or overlap two different statutory classes of invention as set forth in 35 U.S.C. §101. The claim begins by discussing a method (ex. Preamble of claims 55 and 94), the body of the claim discusses the specifics of the system (limits "said (See rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. computer"). \$112, second paragraph, for specific details regarding this issue). "a claim of this type is precluded by express language of 35 U.S.C. §101 which is drafted so as to set forth statutory the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only", Ex parte Lyell (17USPQ2d 1548).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. Claims 81-92, 94 and 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claim 81 recites an apparatus (a network) comprising a single means (a computer-implemented payment system) which is not recited in combination with another means limitation is subject to Single Means Claim an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983). single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held non-enabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor.

(refer to MPEP 2164.08(a))

Application/Control Number: 09/737,011

Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

11. It is noted that the computer implemented payment system is recited, as an element of the apparatus (a payment network) as interfacing with payee accounts receivable system, the later is not recited as an element or means of the apparatus. The apparatus is therefore is treated as a single means claim.

This analysis also applies to independent claim 82

Dependent claims 85, 89, 86 and 90 are also analyzed as a single means claims.

- 12. Claims 83-84, 87-88 and 91-92 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted step(s) is explained as follows:
- 13. Claims 83: the limitation "receiving payment data corresponding to a payment from a payor in the form of a physical payment instrument" is vague and unclear. This limitation fails to clearly recite what relation the payment data has to the physical payment instrument. Since, it appears that the payment data is obtained by use of the physical payment instrument, a process of converting the payment data from a physical form into electronic form

Application/Control Number: 09/737,011

Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

(manually entering or scanning the payment instrument) must also be recited such that the payment data in electronic form can be transmitted to a computer. In absence of recitation of such a process the claim is rendered indefinite.

- 14. Claim 84 also contain similar defect.
- 15. Dependent claims 87-88 and 91-92 are rejected due to their dependency upon claims 83 and 84.
- 16. Claims 94 and 96 are not sufficiently precise due to the combining of two different statutory classes of invention in a single claim. The preamble the claim refers to a method, but the body of the claim discusses the specifics of a system (said computer of said biller). This defect renders the claims indefinite.

Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 41-80 and 99-142 allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 09/737,011

Art Unit: 3624

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

9/29/04